arXiv:0809.4559v2 [math.AC] 19 May 2009

A note on smoothness and differential bases in positive characteristic

Cristodor Ionescu*
Institute of Mathematics *Simion Stoilow*
of the Romanian Academy,
P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700, Bucarest, Romania,
e-mail: cristodor.ionescu@imar.ro

Abstract

Let $u : A \to B$ be a morphism of noetherian local rings. We obtain smoothness criteria for algebras with differential bases, in the case of rings containing a field of characteristic $p > 0$. We also give smoothness criteria for reduced morphisms.

Keywords: Smooth morphisms, differential bases.
MSC: 13N05; 13B10.
Abbreviated title: Smoothness and differential bases.

1 Introduction

Let p be a prime number. All over this paper, by a ring of characteristic p, we will mean a ring containing a field of characteristic p. For our results we need some preliminary considerations. Let A be a ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Denote by $A^{(p)}$ the A-algebra A given by the Frobenius endomorphism of A. For a ring morphism $u : A \longrightarrow B$ we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d, "F_A"'] & B \arrow[d, "F_A \otimes 1_B"] & \\
A^{(p)} \arrow[r] & A^{(p)} \otimes_A B \arrow[r, "\omega_{B/A}"] & B^{(p)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

*Work supported by the CNCSIS Grant GR 23/2008/812.

where F_A is the Frobenius morphism of A and

$$\omega_{B/A}(a \otimes b) = u(a) \cdot b^p, \ \forall a \in A, b \in B.$$

Remark 1.1 We shall freely use the definitions and notations from [5] and [6] with respect to smoothness, regular morphisms, p-basis etc.

Definition 1.2 *Let $u : A \to B$ be a morphism of noetherian rings. A system of elements $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ from B is called a differential basis of B over A, if the set $\{d_{B/A}(b_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis of the module of differentials $\Omega_{B/A}$, where $d_{B/A}$ is the universal derivation of B over A.*

Remark 1.3 1) It is well-known that if B has a p-basis over A, this is also a differential basis of B over A.
2) Obviously, if B has a differential basis over A, then $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a free B-module.
3) Even when A is a field, if $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a free B-module, B does not have necessarily a differential basis over A. Indeed, let $A := \mathbf{F}_5$, the prime field with 5 elements. Then such a simple ring as $B := \mathbf{F}_5[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)$, doesn't have a differential basis over A, while $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a free B-module [12].

We need the following important result of Tyç [12, Theorem 1].

Theorem 1.4 *Let A be a noetherian ring and B a noetherian A-algebra containing A. Then any differential basis of B over A is a p-basis of B over A.*

Proof: See [2, Prop. 58] for a complete proof.

2 A generalization of a result of Tyç

In [12], as a consequence of Theorem 1.4, A. Tyç proved the following smoothness criterion for a k-algebra, where k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Theorem 2.1 [12, Theorem 2] *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and B a noetherian k-algebra. Suppose that:*
a) B has a differential basis over k;
b) $B \otimes_k k^{p^{-1}}$ is reduced.
Then B is smooth over k.

We give a generalization of Tyç's result, dropping the assumption that k is a field. Our proof is slightly different from Tyç's proof.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $u : A \longrightarrow B$ be a morphism of noetherian rings of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that:*
a) B has a p-basis over A;
b) $\omega_{B/A}$ is injective.
Then u is smooth.

Proof: From a) it follows that $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a free B-module. Then it is enough to show that u is regular. By [8, Théorème 4], we have to show that $\omega_{B/A}$ is flat. For this it is enough to find a p-basis of B over $A^{(p)} \otimes_A B$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a p-basis of B over A. Since $\omega_{B/A}$ is injective we have that $A[B^p] \cong A^{(p)} \otimes_A B$ and clearly $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is also a p-basis of B over $A^{(p)} \otimes_A B$.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $u : A \longrightarrow B$ be an injective morphism of noetherian rings of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that:*
a) B has a differential basis over A;
b) $A^{(p)} \otimes_A B$ is a reduced ring.
Then u is smooth.

Proof: Since u is injective, by 1.4 it follows that any differential basis of B over A is also a p-basis of B over A. On the other hand, from the reducedness of $A^{(p)} \otimes_A B$ we get that the Frobenius morphism of $A^{(p)} \otimes_A B$, $F : A^{(p)} \otimes_A B \to (A^{(p)} \otimes_A B)^{(p)}$ is injective. But $F = (F_A \otimes_A B)^{(p)} \omega_{B/A}$, where

$$A^{(p)} \otimes_A B \overset{\omega_{B/A}}{\to} B^{(p)} \cong A \otimes_A B^{(p)} \overset{(F_A \otimes_A B)^{(p)}}{\to} (A^{(p)} \otimes_A B)^{(p)},$$

and $F(a \otimes b) = a^p \otimes b^p$. It follows that $\omega_{B/A}$ is injective. Now we apply 2.2.

As a consequence of 2.3 we obtain the original result of Tyç (see 2.1).

3 Smoothness and reduced morphisms

In this section, mainly as consequences of the results in the previous section, we obtain some smoothness results for reduced morphisms. Let us first remind the definition of reduced morphisms.

Definition 3.1 *A morphism of noetherian rings* $u : A \to B$ *is called a reduced morphism if* u *is flat and for every* $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ *and every field* L*, finite extension of* $k(p)$*, the ring* $B \otimes_A L$ *is a reduced ring.*

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $u : A \longrightarrow B$ *be an injective morphism of noetherian rings of characteristic* p*. Suppose that:*
a) B *has a differential basis over* A*;*
b) u *is a reduced morphism.*
Then u *is smooth.*

Proof: From [3, Theorem 4] it follows that $\omega_{B/A}$ is injective. Now we apply 2.2 and 1.4.

Corollary 3.3 *Let* A *be a ring of characteristic* $p > 0$*, with geometrically reduced formal fibers,* I *an ideal of* A *contained in the Jacobson radical and* B *the completion of* A *in the* I*-adic topology. If* B *has a differential basis over* A*, then* B *is smooth over* A*.*

Corollary 3.4 *Let* A *be a Nagata local ring of characteristic* $p > 0$*,* I *an ideal of* A *and* B *the completion of* A *in the* I*-adic topology. Assume that* B *has a differential basis over* A*. Then* B *is smooth over* A*.*
In particular, suppose that $\widehat{A}$*, the completion of* A *in the topology of the maximal ideal, has a differential basis over* A*. Then* A *is quasi-excellent.*

Corollary 3.5 *Let* A *be a ring of characteristic* $p > 0$*. Assume that there exists* $m \geq 1$ *such that* $A[[X_1, ..., X_m]]$ *has a differential basis over* A*. Then* $A[[X_1, ..., X_n]]$ *is smooth over* A*, for any* $n \geq 1$*. In particular,* A *has geometrically regular formal fibers.*

Proof: From [9, Corollary 2.3] we get that $A \to A[[X_1, ..., X_m]]$ is a reduced morphism. The first assertion follows at once from Theorem 3.2 and [10, Theorem 2.2]. For the second one we use [4, Theorem 3.3].

Remark 3.6 Situations when $A[[X_1, ..., X_n]]$ is smooth over A are investigated in [10] and [11].

Theorem 3.7 *Let* (A, m, k) *be a noetherian local ring of characteristic* p. *The following are equivalent:*
a) A has geometrically reduced formal fibers and $[k : k^p] < \infty$*;*
b) A has geometrically regular formal fibers and $[k : k^p] < \infty$*;*
c) There exists $m \geq 1$ *such that* $A[[X]] = A[[X_1, ..., X_m]]$ *has a p-basis over* A;
d) There exists $m \geq 1$ *such that* $A[[X]] = A[[X_1, ..., X_m]]$ *has a differential basis over* A.

Proof: It is well-known that a) and b) are equivalent. Also it follows from 1.4 that c) and d) are equivalent.
Let us prove that *b)* $\Rightarrow$ *c)*. Let $B := A[[X]] = A[[X_1, \ldots, X_m]]$. Since $[k : k^p] < \infty$ we have that $A[B^p] = A^p[[X^p]][A] = A[[X^p]]$. Hence clearly X is a $p-$basis of $A[[X]]$ over A.
In order to prove *d)* $\Rightarrow$ *b)* assume that $A[[X_1, ..., X_m]]$ has a differential basis over A. From Corollary 3.5 we get that $A[[X_1, ..., X_m]]$ is smooth over A. Now we apply [10, Theorem 2.2].

Remark 3.8 One can also prove *b)* $\Rightarrow$ *d)* directly as follows. From b) it follows that A is a finite A^p-algebra and by [10, Theorem 2.2] we get that $B := A[[X]] = A[[X_1, \ldots, X_m]]$ is smooth over A. We consider the $X-$adic topologies. Then $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a projective $B-$module and consequently separated. Hence $\Omega_{B/A} \subseteq \widehat{\Omega_{B/A}}$. On the other hand $\widehat{\Omega_{B/A}}$ is a free B-module, having as basis $dX \in \Omega_{B/A}$, where $d = d_{B/A}$ is the universal derivation. It follows that $\Omega_{B/A}$ is a free B-module having dX as a basis.

Remark 3.9 In the situation of Theorem 3.7, if $A[[X_1, ..., X_m]]$ has a differential basis (resp. p-basis) over A for some $m \geq 1$, it is clear that $A[[X_1, ..., X_n]]$ has a differential basis (resp. p-basis) over A for all $n \geq 1$.

Finally we give an application of Theorem 3.2 for integrable derivations [7].

Corollary 3.10 *Let* $u : A \longrightarrow B$ *be an injective morphism of noetherian rings of characteristic* $p > 0$. *Suppose that:*
a) B has a differential basis over A;
b) u is a reduced morphism.
Then $\mathrm{Der}_A(B) = \mathrm{Ider}_A(B)$.

Proof: By Theorem 3.2 u is smooth. It follows that $H^1(A, B, E) = 0$, for every B-module E. Now we apply [7, Theorem 8].

Example 3.11 Let k be a perfect field of characteristic 2 and let

$$A := (k[X,Y,Z]/(XY - Z^2))_{(X,Y,Z)} = k[x,y,z]_{(x,y,z)},\ xy = z^2.$$

Then A is reduced, even normal, hence geometrically reduced over k. It is easy to see that $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a free A-module with basis $\{xdx + ydy, dz\}$, where $d = d_{A/k}$ is the universal derivation. Since $\mathrm{Der}_k(A) \neq \mathrm{Ider}_k(A)$ [7, Example 6], it follows that A doesn't have a differential basis over k.

References

[1] M. André, *Homologie des algèbres commutatives*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York, 1974.

[2] M. André, Homologie de Frobenius, *Math. Ann.*, **290**(1991), 129-181.

[3] T. Dumitrescu, Reducedness, Formal Smoothness and Approximation in characteristic p, *Comm. Alg.*, **23**(1995), 1787-1795.

[4] T. Dumitrescu, Regularity and finite flat dimension in characteristic $p > 0$, *Comm. Alg.*, **24**(1996), 3387-3401.

[5] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, Eléments de géometrie algèbrique, Publ. Math. IHES, 20, 24, 28.

[6] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1986.

[7] H. Matsumura, Integrable derivations, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **87**(1982), 227-245.

[8] N. Radu, Une classe d'anneaux noethériens, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **37**(1992), 79-82.

[9] R. Sharp, On the fibre rings of a formal power series extension, *Quart. J. Math.*, **28**(1977), 487-494.

[10] H. Tanimoto, Some characterization of smoothness of noetherian rings, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **23**(1983), 695-706.

[11] H. Tanimoto, Smoothness of noetherian rings, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **95**(1984), 163-179.

[12] A. Tyç, Differential basis, p-basis and smoothness in characteristic $p > 0$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **103**(1988), 389-394.